

CIA Publications Released to the Public Through Library of Congress DOCEX

Listing for 1972-1977

NFAC 78-10002 July 1978 These publications are prepared for the use of U.S. Government officials. The format, coverage and contents of the publications are designed to meet the specific requirements of those users.

Non-U.S. Government users may obtain these publications on a subscription basis by addressing inquiries to: Document Expediting Project (DOCEX), Exchange and Gifts Division, Library of Congress, Washington, D.C. 20540 (202-426-5253).

Users not interested in the subscription service may purchase reproductions of publications on an individual basis from: Photo-duplication Service, Library of Congress, Washington, D.C. 20540 (202-426-5640).

Those publications marked by an asterisk (*) are available for purchase through the Government Printing Office, Superintendent of Documents, Washington, D.C. 20402 (202-783-3238). All CIA maps available for purchase may also be purchased by contacting the GPO.

Academics and the CIA

AST SUNDAY on this page we ■ published a statement by Michel Oksenberg which supported the CIA's position in the case of Nathan Gardels vs. Central Intelligence Agency. Mr. Gardels is suing the CIA to obtain information about the agency's covert activities on University of California campuses; he is a graduate student in Political Science professor at the University of Michigan on indefinite leave to serve on the National Security Council as a China expert.

In his affidavit Mr. Oksenberg admits having had a confidential relationship with the CIA while he was teaching on campus. Mr. Oksenberg explained that soon after he entered academic life he began to meet CIA officials at scholarly conventions, conferences, and seminars "I soon found that these CIA officials were professional colleagues of mine; that is, although we did not agree on all matters nor was any pressure placed on me to alter my views, we shared many common interests, we had similar academic backgrounds, we worked with similar unclassified data, and, therefore, we face many similar methodological concerns, he said.

The "cornerstone" of his relationship with the CIA, he said, is strict confidentiality: Mr. Oksenberg said he felt that if the CIA were to reveal not just the names of other professors with similar relationship, and he said there are many, but even the names of institutions where these academics work, it would "destroy the candor and utility of the exchange an exchange which he said is beneficial to academics and the national interest.

Mr. Oksenberg said this confidentiality is needed to protect academics from public criticism and scorn which would follow the revelation of such a raised by Mr. Oksenberg's defense of relationship, because the "CIA is much the CIA.

maligned and misunderstood on stoday's campuses. 💨 🧢

On the contrary, the CIA is very well understood on college campuses today. Perhaps this is the reason these academics would be subject to public criticism and scorn.

At one point, Mr. Oksenberg defends his relationship with the CIA on the Political Science. Mr. Oksenberg is a premise that a "free exchange of ideas" is important to his counterparts in the agency and at the university. Certainly no one would deny that the free exchange of ideas is not only the principle on which a university functions, but the foundation of ... democracy. The CIA, however, seems to be involved in a rather one-sided exchange. Its secrets or cooperation are rendered only to those who would be of service to the agency. Relatively few: academics receive the benefits Mr. Oksenberg enjoyed as a result of his secret relationship.

Mr. Oksenberg's statement raises many serious questions as he suspected it would. It is important to bring the discussion of CIA campus activities into the open; in this, he has done a great service to this University community and others. It is unfortunate that his intentions were to preserve a system which smacks of favoritism and has impinged on the civil liberties of those who become victims of the CIA's covert recruiting operation.

In its final report, the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence Activities expressed concert that American academics involved in such activities may undermine public confidence that those who train our youth are upholding the ideals, independence, and integrity of American universities. It would be wise for everyone to consider the Select Committee's concern when pondering the questions THE MICHIGAN DAILY 21 Januarv 1979

In support of the CIA

Editor's note: What follows this note is an affidavit by Michael Oksenberg submitted in the case of Nathan Gardels vs. Central Intelligence Agency. Mr. Oksenberg is a professsor at the University of Michigan on indefinite leave to serve on the National Security Council as an advisor on China. :::

Mr. Gardels is a graduate student at. the University of California where he studies political science. In-1976, after the Senute Select Committee on Intelligence Activities revealed that the CIA uses academics at more than 100 Amercan colleges and universities to make "introductions for intelligence purposes" and other tasks, Mr. Gardels submitted to the CIA a Freedom of Information (FOIA) request asking for access to all documents relating to CIA contacts at the University of California; specifically including all contractural arrangements and personnel relationships.

The CIA provided Mr. Gardels with more than 800 documents, some of which revealed that a UC vice president had received CIA training and operated on campus at a covert agent for the Agency. The CIA informed Mr. Gardels that it would neither confirm nor deny the existence of any additional documents which would be responsive to his request and added that if such documents did exist, those documents would be withheld pursuant to section: (b) (1) of the FOIA which allows the CIA to protect the identity of its personnel.

Last February Mr. Gardels initiated a lawsuit against the CIA asking the court to order the CIA to produce the requested documents for inspection and copying. The court has not yet reached a decision on the case. 77. 27

Affidavit of Michel Oksenberg

Michel Oksenberg, Staff Member, National Security Council-Staff, being duly sworn, deposes. and says:

· A NUMBER

1. I was appointed as a member of the National Security Council Staff on January 20, 1977. and I continue to serve in that.

Security Council Staff, I entered graduate school in 1960 and served since 1966 as a member of the faculties of three major United States universities. I am currently on academic leave from one of these universities, and I intend to teach there once my government service ends.

3. Soon after entering academic life, I began to meet members of the Central Intelligence Agency at various professional meetings: annual conventions of such national associations as the American Political Science Association, academic conferences and seminars, and public meetings. CIA employees openly identified themselves at these meetings; they made no effort to hide their institutional affiliation. I soon found that these CIA officials were professional colleagues of mine; that is, although we did not agree on all matters nor was any pressure placed on me to alter my views, we shared many common interests, we had similar academic backgrounds, we worked with similar unclassified data, and, therefore, we 🦵 face 🦂 many 🗧 similar methodological concerns. As did many other of my university associates, I gradually came to realize that I could have the same kind of professional association with CIA personnel, as well as State and Defense Department officials; that Lahad with my university colleagues - criticizing one another's papers before publication corresponding about research problems, and so on As with my professional exchanges with my academic colleagues, these exchanges were held in confidence: by the parties involved. Further. we dealt exclusively with unclassified information.

4. My conctacts with Agency personnel were not unusual; many other academics had similar contacts. But I have decided to go on record and to acknowledge publicly this relationship with Agency person-2. Prior to joining the National nel because I strongly believe associations with their

that requiring the Agency to disclose the names of other academics who developed similar personal relations will destroy associations that benefit both academics and the foreign policy interests of the United States. I have made this decision in full awareness of the fact that the action I am taking will lead to questioning and criticism of my part in these relations, and may in fact adversely affect my future academic career.

5. The free exchange of ideas with counterparts both in and out of academe is one very important way in which academics are ableto advance and gauge their intellectual growth and currency once their formal schooling has ended. I benefited greatly from the comments and criticisms that I received from Agency members with whom I shared my work: Similarly, I like to think that some Agency members benefited from my comments on their unclassified papers and thoughts (many of which were subsequently published with CIA authorship acknowledged.) Further, the relations that I developed over the years with our government's foreign policy community were an important factor in my deciding to enter, government service for a perios, and my effectiveness in the government certainly has been enhanced by the personal contacts that were established during my academic

6. The cornerstone of my relations with Agency personnel as with my other professional. colleagues, is that our exchanges are conducted in strict confidence. It is common practice for academics to share their hypotheses, research designs, and writings prior to publication with a substantial number of colleagues on a confidential basis. Any breach of confidentiality would destroy the candor. and utility of the exchange. I believe it would be unfortunate if American academics could not have confidential professional

professional colleagues in the government, with every piece of correspondence thus becoming a possible matter of public record. A barrier to communication between university and government personnel would thereby be erected that would not serve our national interest.

7. Confidentiality - of correspondence with Agency personnel is also at necessity because the CIA is much maligned and misunderstood on today's campuses. Even the hint of an association with the Agency, including a professional association dealing exclusively with unclassified materials on academic topics, subjects academics to abuse and scorn, and very well may endanger their academic careers. In fact, given the climate on today's campuses, even the disclosure of the fact that such associations exist at a particular university would lead ultimately to strong pressure to identify 4 the academics involved. क्षितिकार्यः नाराक्ष्यिकारे विकेश

8. Therefore, if the Agency is required to disclose any information that would identify, or lead to the identification of academics who have association with the Agency, such associations would be effectively terminated, "because those currently: involved would be smeared, while young professors would be dissuaded from entering into similar situations. Because I believe that this result is harmful to the academics and their institutions; as well as to the general foriegn policy interests of the United States, I would respectfully urge that disclosure of such, information not be required

MICHEL OKSENBERG Staff Member:

National Security Council Washington, D.C.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 8th day of September,

SAMMIE L. NEWMAN Notary Public My commission expires: March 26, 1980